

no notice to oppose or answering affidavit filed by the respondent. There was thus on the papers no LIS between the parties and hence no need for me to give reasons. Nevertheless I explain briefly as follows.

[2] The case was decided on the basis of the facts set out in the founding affidavit. I had no facts before me to suggest I should consider making any other finding.

The application was for the attachment of the respondent's right, title and interest in his bank accounts. The attachment order sought was for all bank accounts and not limited to the outstanding quantum. The only issue I took up with counsel was to limit the order of attachment to the sum outstanding. This counsel duly did, and the order I signed thus differs from the draft that appears on CaseLines. A copy of the signed order is attached and it will be downloaded on to caselines.

**N. MANOIM
JUDGE OF THE HIGH COURT
GAUTENG DIVISION
JOHANNESBURG**

Date of Reasons: 12 December 2023

Appearances:

Counsel for the Applicant:

CJ Bekker

Instructed by.

Hadar Inc