# IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA

## GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG

CASE NO: SS134/2011

REPORTABLE: NO.

OF INTEREST TO OTHER JUDGES: NO.

EX TEMPORE 14 March 2023

**SIGNATURE** 

In the matter between

MASSINGYE JULIUS JOSSIA

**Applicant** 

and

10 THE STATE

Respondent

Neutral Citation: Massingye Julius Jossia v The State (Case

No: SS134/2011) [2023] ZAGPJHC 394 (21 April 2023)

#### JUDGMENT

### Leave to Appeal

**VALLY J**: Before me is an application for leave to on conviction and sentence.

#### 20 On conviction

The applicant claims that judgment contains numerous misdirections, which call for the attention of another court. Upon scrutinising the claims, it became clear to me that the applicant merely repeats each of his contentions made at the trial. All of them have been fully dealt with in the judgment. The applicant remains convinced that his claims should have been accepted regardless of the fact that the

SS134/2011-mro 2 LTA JUDGMENT 14-03-2023

testimonies of more than one witness demonstrated that his

claims were baseless. I accordingly find that there is no

misdirection and there is therefore no basis to claim that

another court invested with the facts presented at the trial

would come to a conclusion different to the one I and my

learned assessors arrived at.

On sentence

On the issue of the sentence imposed it was argued I failed

10 to have adequate regard to the fact that it was the first

offence committed by a very young man and that I over-

emphasised the gravity of the offence. Once again, I have to

disagree. These facts were taken into account. They were

found not to be compelling and substantial. There was,

therefore, nothing before court to warrant a departure from

the minimum sentence recommended by the legislature. And

no other court, in my view, would come to a different

conclusion.

20 Accordingly, the application for leave to appeal against both

conviction and sentence is dismissed.

VALLYJ

Date of signature: 21 April 2023