

# **IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA**

# (GAUTENG DIVISION, PRETORIA)

DELETE WHICHEVER IS NOT APPLICABLE

(1) REPORTABLE: YES / NO.

(2) OF INTEREST TO OTHER JUDGES: YES / NO.

(3) REVISED.

**DATE** 

**SIGNATURE** 

Case Number: 25559/2022

In the matter between:

### NATHAN EDWIN SASSMAN

**First Applicant** 

### **DESIREE ELIZABETH SASSMAN**

Second Applicant

And

### HARRISON HURSINEY PIENAAR

First Respondent

# **HEIDI JULIA PIENAAR**

Second Respondent

This judgment was prepared and authored by the Judge whose name is reflected and is handed down electronically by circulation to the Parties/their legal

# JUDGMENT: APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL

#### POTTERILL J

[1] I have studied the grounds of appeal, read the applicants' and respondents' heads of argument and listened to oral argument as to why leave to appeal must be granted or not.

[2] My judgment fully addresses the points raised and I am satisfied no other court could reasonably come to another conclusion.

[3] The application for leave to appeal is dismissed with costs.

S. POTTERILL JUDGE OF THE HIGH COURT CASE NO: 25559/2022

DATE OF HEARING: 31 October 2023

FOR THE APPLICANTS: ADV. M. KUFA

**INSTRUCTED BY: Machaba Attorneys** 

FOR THE RESPONDENTS: ADV. M. JACOBS

INSTRUCTED BY: Klagsbruin Edelstein Bosman Du Plessis Inc.

DATE OF JUDGMENT: 2 November 2023